A.I.L

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर **Division Bench**

(49)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.(PIL) 166 सन् 200 1

मामला क्रमांक

In Refrence V State of C.G. & Ors.

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
		PR/1806/07

Petition of W.P.(PIL)
Presented on 4.9.01
with
by Shri Received from office Adv.
it be checked fixed for

7 Days
for A. R. 9/01

4-9.

The petition checked it appears to be properly drawn-up, duly stamped, and is accompanied necessary documents. It be registered and placed before Hon'ble Judges for order on A.dam
~~Let record of the Lower court be called for.~~

7-9-01

Parties
for A.R. 5/9/01
appl
11.9.2001

[पृष्ठ देखिये]

(58)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ &
HON'BLE SHRI V.K. SHRIVASTAVA, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1661/2001

PETITIONERS — In Reference

Versus

RESPONDENTS — State of Chhattisgarh and others.

PRESENT:

Ms. Sunita Jain, Advocate appears as Amicus Curiae.

Shri Utkarsh Verma, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 16th November, 2006)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by S.R. Nayak, C.J.

This writ petition has been registered *suo moto* by this Court on the basis of news item published in the daily newspaper, namely, "Hindustan Times" dated 02/09/1991. The news item reported that as a result of some dispute between the scheduled caste families and the upper class families in village Jiradabri in Mahasamund District, the scheduled caste families were not being permitted to purchase goods and other essential articles from the local shops and consequently, the members of scheduled caste families had to walk 7 – 8 kilometers for earning their livelihood and to purchase essential commodities for their livelihood.

In response to the notice issued by this Court, on behalf of the respondents, a return dated 18/01/2003 supported by an affidavit of Shri Goverdhan Singh Thakur, S/o. Shri B.S. Thakur, Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Saraipali, District Mahasamund, and Officer Incharge of the case, is filed. In para six of the return, it is stated that the local people

(59)

belonging to two groups, due to intervention of the public authorities, were agreed to burry their differences, live in peace and harmony and not to have any frictions in future in the locality. In that regard, a Panchnama signed by the representatives belonging to both the groups was also executed. The incident had taken place in the year 2001 and this writ petition is coming up for final disposal in 2006. There is nothing to show that the situation that obtained when this Court *suo moto* registered the report published in the newspaper as a writ petition still subsists. On the other hand, the return of the respondents would show that immediately after the incident, due to their intervention, that differences between the two groups were buried and they agreed to keep peace and harmony . In that view of the matter, there is no need for us to pass any further direction. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
V.K. Shrivastava
Judge

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Raju